Consumer Stock Ownership Plans (CSOPs)

Consumer Co-Ownership in Renewables in Renewable Energy Communities under the new Renewable Energy Directive (RED II)

SCORE Workshop & Webinar Prague, 31 March 2020

Prof. Dr. iur. Jens Lowitzsch, European University Viadrina, e-mail: <u>lowitzsch@europa-uni.de</u> Kelso Professor of Comparative Law, East European Business Law and European Legal Policy



European Commission Horizon 2020 European Union funding for Research & Innovation



Energy Systems of Tomorrow



TRANSACTION

Contractual Arrangements: Business Model

Introduction: RECs and RE Clusters – Socio-Technical Mirrors of the same Concept

Renewable Energy Clusters – Characteristics of the new structures:



- **Complementarity of different RES** (to cope with volatility of RE generation; not sufficiently acknowledged in RED II & IEMD)
- **Grid flexibility options** (storage, demand response, and active grid management)
- Interconnectivity of different actors (heterogeneity of members of RECs fostering complementarity of load profiles)
- **Bi-directionality of energy flows** (allowing energy sharing of a portfolio of RES, peer-to-peer marketing and sale to the grid)

"Consumers at the Heart of the Energy Markets" -> Slogan or programme?

Small revolution -> RED II & IEMD/R (RE -> electricity + energy)

-> History teaches us that changes are possible; expl. social security system -> 150 years ago "Science Fiction" / today mainstream

RED II: "Equal Footing" for Renewable Energy Communities

-> enabling framework to promote and facilitate their development Preferential Conditions = more than a level playing field (IEMD)

Most important innovations:

- **1. Definition of new categories of actors**
 - Individuals & Jointly Acting Self-Consumers (Art. 21 RED II)
 - Renewable Energy Communities (Art. 22 RED II)
 - Citizen Energy Communities (Art. 16 IEMD)

"Energy / Electricity sharing" (RED II & IEMD)

2. Virtual Net Metering within Energy Communities (as long as the community own two metering points)

Fossil & Nuclear Energy World:

- Large, centralized generation
- Unidirectional producerconsumer duality

Energy Transition RE Clusters:

- Complementarity of different energy sources,
- Flexibility & Interconnectivity
- require Bi-Directionality of energy flows

3. New model for control & ownership (however not compulsory)

-> "effectively controlled" (RED II & IEMD)

-> "autonomous" (RED II)

Renewable vs. Citizen Energy communities Relation of the RED II and the IEMD/R



Comparison of Control and Ownership in Energy Communities

Criteria	Renewable Energy Communities pursuant to RED II	Citizen Energy Communities as defined in IEMD
Eligibility	 natural persons, Small and medium sized enterprises, local authorities, incl. municipalities; 	in principle open to all types of entities;
Primary Purpose	"environmental, economic or social community benefits for its shareholders / members or for local areas where it operates, rather than financial profits";	
Member- ship	voluntary participation open to all potential local members based on non-discriminatory criteria;	voluntary participation open to all potential members based on non-discriminatory criteria;
Ownership and control	 effectively controlled by shareholders or members that are located in the proximity of the RE project; is autonomous (no individual shareholder may own more than 33 per cent of the stock). 	 effectively controlled by shareholders or members of the project; limitation for firms included in shareholders controlling entity to those of small/micro size (not medium); shareholders engaged in large scale commercial activity and for which energy constitutes primary area of activity excluded from control.

The challenge: Including Heterogeneous Co-Investors under the Roof of a REC

European energy law does not rule out other private law citizens' or consumer-oriented initiatives than RECs:

- Advancing RECs by tying benefits of "enabling framework" to compliance with the governance model is an **Opt-in Mechanism;**
- The number of RECs set up will depend on their ability to involve heterogenous co-investors, key to the success of RE clusters.

Conventional business models for consumer ownership as a rule do not allow for the combination of different types of co-investors:

- To what extent does the RED II governance model for RECs actually meet the needs of practice?
- Can RE-CSOPs provide attractive conditions respecting both, the RED II prerequisites <u>and</u> the individual needs of different co-investors?
- -> **Trusteed models as the CSOP** providing flexible low-threshold financing can play an important role as a bridge technology

Inclusion of Vulnerable Consumers? Structural Obstacles rooted in the Welfare State

Mitigating Energy Vulnerability



Problem: Recipients of Means-Tested Transfers

Social transfers become a barrier for Low-Income Households (LIHs):

- To be eligible for social transfer payments they must liquidate all assets
- Dividends / assets are offset against transfers
- -> Strong disincentive to acquire RE co-ownership
- = LIHs are caught in a "welfare dilemma"

Consumer Stock Ownership Plans (CSOPs) = extension of Coop model based on trusteeship



Unique Selling Points of the CSOP

Low-threshold investment, no individual liability & 2nd income source

-> Access to capital credit by pooling individual investments in intermediary entity using leverage to scale up the investment; no expensive micro loans

Low entry and exit costs for consumers

-> easy to transfer shares: only party of trusteeship agreement changes; no need for additional registration with register court or notary public.

Protecting consumers while professionalising decision-making

- Streamlining decision-making via trusteeship, avoiding fragmentation of voting rights while protecting & advising consumer shareholders
- -> Trust agreement defines decisions voted by consumers & those delegated to trustee; day-to-day operations left to trustee (and other co-investors)
- -> Simplified communication for co-investors (municipalities / SMEs): One interlocutor, one phone number; board representation guaranteed.

Investments are also attractive for co-investors

-> Voting rights proportional to shareholding; fluctuation among consumer shareholders does not impact overall shareholder structure in CSOP-LLC

I. CSOP Options under Company Law "Base model"

- Strategic investor has local long-term interest (e.g., acceptance of wind park project)
- Does not mind burdening Operating Company by capital acquisition loan for consumers
- All shareholders are proportionally liable for debt of Operating Company

II. CSOP Options under Company Law "Integrating strategic Investor"

Strategic investor has short-term interest (only Holding Ltd. liable for acquisition loan)

Operating Company & Holding Ltd. may form "Cap.Tax Group" (national tax law permitting)
 -> Financing cost of loan lower profits of RE-Plant (repayment of loan with pre-tax money)

III. CSOP Options under Company Law "Upscaling / Pooling CSOPs"

Operating Company runs x RE-CSOP projects / Asset Company owns RE-plant of CSOP

Strategic investor(s) with differing short-/long-term interest (management / capital investment / electricity storage / demand response / DSO operating micro grid / etc.)

Recommendations to National Legislators for RED II Transposition

Recognising the Challenges of RE Clusters in New Energy Systems

- -> With decreasing storage cost & increasing demand for local flexibility, community energy storage systems will become increasingly important;
- -> The new European regulatory framework does not sufficiently encourage, or in places even inadvertently discourages, complementarity of RES;
- -> The question of operating & managing electricity networks, esp. grid ownership of energy communities both RECs and CECs remains a thorny issue;
- -> We observe a lack of concrete proposals in view to facilitate participation of low-income households and vulnerable consumers.

Spelling out the "Enabling Framework" for RECs

- -> Elasticity with regard to eligibility requirements of proximity of shareholders;
- -> When delegating balancing responsibility to professionals or pooling it for RECs the "enabling framework" should account for increased costs of pioneering RE clusters;
- -> For Energy Sharing in RECs network fees should be reduced in proportion to the actual distances in order to maintain the benefits of prosumership in RECs;
- -> "Regulatory Sandboxes" (time limited real-world testing environments) needed.

Prosumership in the recast of the Renewable Energy Directive (RED II, Dec. 2018)

-> transposition in 28 EU Member States into national law until June 2021

18 Country Studies & a comparative analysis Palgrave/McMillan 2019

- **Part I.** Rationale for consumer ownership in renewable energies
- **Part II.** Consumer (co-)ownership Conventional models and Consumer Stock Ownership Plans
- **Part III.** Consumer (co-)ownership in renewable energies in 18 selected countries
- **Part IV.** Summary of the results and their implications for policy-making

Latest Relevant Publications:

<u>Renewable energy communities under the 2019 European Clean Energy Package –</u> <u>Governance model for the energy clusters of the future?</u> RSER January 2020

Consumer Stock Ownership Plans (CSOPs)—The Prototype Business Model for Renewable Energy Communities

Energies January 2020

The SCORE project has received funding for a coordination and support action from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 784960.

Disclaimer

Any information and opinion presented during this talk reflects the view of the consortium. EASME and the Commission are not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

European Commission Horizon 2020 European Union funding for Research & Innovation

